

California Reconal Water Quality Control Board

Los Angeles Region

320 W. 4th Street, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90013 Phone (213) 576-6600 FAX (213) 576-6640 Internet Address: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/~rwqcb4



April 14, 2000

Mr. J.O. Oltmans Oltmans Construction Co. 1005 Missionmill Road Whittier, CA 90608-0985

NO FURTHER ACTION FOR GROUNDWATER - FORMER DIVERSEY CORP. - 8921 DICE ROAD, SANTA FE SPRINGS - (FILE NO. 97-092)

Dear Mr. Oltmans:

We have reviewed a Report on the Groundwater Conditions at the subject site, prepared by Environmental Strategies Corporation (ESC), dated February 1, 2000.

The site is a former industrial detergent manufacturing plant. According to a May 1998, Phase I Environmental Assessment Report, "kerosene was used as the primary feedstock material for the detergent manufacturing process" at the site.

Site assessment data indicated that subsurface soil has been impacted with total petroleum hydrocarbons as kerosene (TPHk) and the groundwater has been impacted with TPH and chlorinated volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

Soil matrix and soil gas sampling data does not indicate the presence of an on-site source for the VOCs impacted groundwater. Therefore, on September 21, 1998, a no further action letter was issued for the VOCs impacted groundwater.

A dual phase soil vapor extraction system was implemented to remediate the TPHk impacted soil and groundwater. Following remedial activities, on April 15, 1998, a no further action letter was issued for the TPHk impacted soil. The letter required further groundwater monitoring.

The latest groundwater data indicated that TPHk concentrations are decreasing to less than 400µg/L and present a low risk to the environment. Therefore, we concur with ESC's request for no further action at this site.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Ms. Jenny M. Au at (213)576-6734.

Sincerely,

Dennis A. Dickerson Executive Officer

Cc: Mr. Chris Bovaird, Rathon Corp.

Mr. Rick Freudenberger, ESC

California Environmental Protection Agency

CASE REVIEW FORM

Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

| Date: | SLIC file no.: | Case reviewer: Jenny Au | |
|--|----------------------|---|--------------|
| March 15,2000 | 694 | Signature: | |
| Site Name/Address: | Responsible parties: | Address: | Phone no.: |
| Former Diversey Corp. 8921 Dice Road Santa Fe Springs, 90670 | Rathon Corp. | 1155 Notre-Dame Street East Montreal, Quebec, H2L 2R5, Canada | 625-590-6340 |
| | | | |

I. CASE INFORMATION

| Area of Concern | Contaminant Source | Chemicals of Concern | Source Status | Date of Action |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|---------------|----------------|
| | Sump | Kerosene | Removed | 1990 |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | , | | |

II. SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION

| GW Basin: Beneficial uses: | | Depth to drinking water aquifer: | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Central Los Angeles | MUN, IND, PROC, AGR | 200 feet | | | |
| Distance to nearest municipal supply well: <1/2 mile (City of Santa re Springs Well #1) | | Distance between known shallow GW contamination and aquifer: 154 feet | | | |
| GW highest depth: 32 ft GW lowest depth: 46 ft | | Well screen interval: 21.81-61.81 ft BGS Flow direction: south/southwest | | | |
| Soil types: sand & gravel | | Maximum soil depth sampled: 25 feet | | | |

III. MAXIMUM DOCUMENTED CONTAMINANT CONCENTRATIONS IN SOIL

| 7 Contaminant | Soil (| mg/kg)** | | Gs. | Soil Screening | Contaminant | Soil (| mg/kg) | PR | lGs 17: | Soil Screening Level |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------|---------|----------------------------|
| Contaminant | Earliest (date) | Latest (date) | Res (mg.kg) | Ind (mg.kg) | + Level ! (mg/kg) | Contaminant | Earliest (date) | Latest | Res- (mg.kg) | la Ind | Level: (mg/kg) |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |] |] | |
| | | | | | | | | ļ | | | |
| | - | | | - | | | | 1 | | | |

IV MAXIMUM DOCUMENTED CONTAMINANT CONCENTRATIONS IN GROUNDWATER

| Contaminant | Groundwate | r (μg/L) | Maximum Contaminant Level (цв/L) | Contaminant : | Groundwat | er (μg/L) | Maximum Contaminant Level (µg/L) |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Earliest (7/96) | Lafest (1/00) | | | Earliest (date) | Latest (date) -1 | 100 |
| Kerosene | Free product | <400 | N/A | MTBE | | <0.5 | 13 |
| Benzene, | | 0.9 | 1 | | · | | |
| Toluene | | <0.5 | 150 . | | • | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | <0.5 | 700 | | | | |
| Xylene | | <1.5 | 1750 | | | | |

V. SOIL REMEDIATION

| Method: Dual-phase soil vapor extraction | Duration of remediation: 11 months |
|--|------------------------------------|
| | |

VI. GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION

|)) | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Method: Dual soil vapor extraction | The market of the country of the cou |
| IF Premon: Luarson vapor extraction | Duration of remediation: 11 months |
| I Memory Branch report entraction | Deletion of terroquetton. It months |
| | |

VII. FREE PRODUCT:

| Was free product encountered? Yes | Has free product been totally recovered? Yes |
|---|--|
| When was free product recovery project completed? 3/13/98 | |

| 1. | RE | COM | IMEN | DED | ACTION: |
|----|----|-----|-------------|-----|---------|

Soil Closure only: No Case Closure: Yes Solvent Case? No

Additional Action Required (i.e.: additional site assessment, remediation, monitoring):

IX. COMMENTS AND JUSTIFICATION FOR RECOMMENDED ACTION:

The site is a former manufacturing plant for liquid cleaning compounds, insecticides, and antifreeze. Kerosene was used as the primary feedstock material for the detergent manufacturing process.

Previous site assessment data indicate that subsurface soil has been impacted with TPHk (kerosene) and the groundwater has been impacted with both TPHk and chlorinated volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Soil matrix and soil gas sampling data does not indicate the presence of an on-site source for the VOCs impacted groundwater. Therefore, on September 21, 1998, a no further action letter was issued for the VOCs impacted groundwater.

A dual phase soil vapor extraction system was implemented to remediate the TPHk impacted soil and groundwater. Following remedial activities, on April 15, 1998, a no further action letter was issued for the TPH impacted soil. The letter required further groundwater monitoring.

Gw monitoring data indicated that the TPHk impacted groundwater is limited to MW-4 and the latest TPHk concentration has decreased to <400µg/L. In addition, groundwater data indicated that MTBE and BTEX concentrations are below maximum contaminant levels (MCLs). The source of contamination (sump) has been removed. TPHk impacted soil have been remediated. The nature and limited extent of TPHk detected in the gw presents a low risk to the environment. Therefore, staff recommends no further action at this site.

S:\SLIC\FORM\CASE REVIEW FORM